

REMARKS

This is in response to the Office Action dated on March 15, 2005, and the references cited therewith.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 9, 10, 11, 13, 19, 27, and 37 are amended. Claims 16, 24, 32, and 39 are canceled. As a result, claims 1-15, 17-23, 25-31, 33-34 and 37-41 are now pending in this application.

§103 Rejection of the Claims

Claims 1-2, 4-7, 13, 19-20, 22-23, 27-28, and 30-31 were rejected under 35 USC § 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 USC § 103(a) as obvious over Coberfin et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,269,243) in view of Zicker (U.S. Patent No. 5,995,833). This rejection is respectfully traversed. Claim 1 has been amended to include an RF detector that detects RF emissions of the separate wireless phone. The controller is coupled to the RF detector, and controls RF emissions based on the detected RF emissions to maintain them below a predetermined level. Coberfin et al., simply compels operation "at a very reduced power". It does not appear to measure RF emissions and control RF emissions based on such measured RF emissions. Zicker simply instructs radiotelephones to transmit at minimum power levels. It also does not appear to measure RF emissions and control emissions based on such measurements. The remaining claims have been amended to incorporate allowable subject matter from selected dependent claims. As such, all claims are now believed to be in condition for allowance.

Claims 10-11 were rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corbeffin et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,269,243). These claims depend from claims that are believed allowable.

Claims 3, 14-15, 21, and 29 were rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corbeffin et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,269,243) in view of Zicker (U.S. Patent No. 5,995,833). These claims depend from claims that are believed allowable.

Claims 37-38 were rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corbefin et al. in view of Zicker. These claims depend from claims that are believed allowable.

Claims 9, 17, 25, 33, and 40 were rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corbefin et al. in view of Ray et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,108,539). These claims depend from claims that are believed allowable.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8, 12, 18, 26, 34, and 41 are allowed.

Claims 16, 24, 32 and 39 were previously objected as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant respectfully submits that the claims are in condition for allowance, and notification to that effect is earnestly requested. The Examiner is invited to telephone Applicant's attorney at (612) 373-6972 to facilitate prosecution of this application.


If necessary, please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-0743.

Respectfully submitted,

By their Representatives,

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.
P.O. Box 2938
Minneapolis, MN 55402
(612) 373-6972


Date 6/15/2005

By 
Bradley A. Forrest
Reg. No. 30,837

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on this 15th day of June, 2005.



Name



Signature